



Wissenschaftszentrum
Nordrhein-Westfalen

Institut Arbeit
und Technik



Kulturwissenschaftliches
Institut

Wuppertal Institut für
Klima, Umwelt, Energie
GmbH

Security of Energy Supply

—

Potentials and Reserves of Various Energy Sources; Technologies Furthering Self-reliance, and the Impact of Policy Choices

Project on behalf of the European Parliament - ITRE Committee

Stefan Lechtenbömer

Brussels, 9th October 2006

Overview:

Five Scenarios of the EU25 by 2030

- Background, task and methodology of the study
- Baseline scenario and variants N+/N-
- Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy scenarios
- Comparison with recent DG-TREN scenarios
- Conclusion:
 - Two alternative strategies
 - Robust choices

Background and task of the study

Background:

- The end of cheap fossil energy is approaching
 - EU25 is increasingly relying on energy imports
 - Intensified international competition about dwindling resources
- Climate change is becoming increasingly significant
 - Significant reductions of GHG emissions are needed
- Window of opportunity in EU electricity sector


Task of the study:

- Analysis of resource and technology trends
- Development of 5 Scenarios of the EU25 by 2030
- Discussion of existing policy and policy choices

Methodology

- Accounting model of the EU25 energy system
 - Technology specific description of power sector and CHP production (including costs)
 - Modelling of energy demand side by sector and energy carrier
- Baseline compatible with recent DG TREN scenario
- Scenarios on energy demand based on other research
 - Own scenarios for the EU and Germany
 - Own detailed bottom up analysis of efficiency technologies
 - Synopsis of recent studies and political targets
- Policy analysis
 - 3 framework policy areas (climate, Lisbon, external)
 - 5 energy policy fields (energy market, energy efficiency, transport, renewable energy, RTD)

The problem: Imports of fossil fuels to the EU25



- Imports increase by 40 % due to:
 - reduced production (-53%)
 - increasing demand (+6%)
- Natural gas: doubling
- International competition is increasing
- How can the increasing EU demand be secured?

5 Energy Scenarios for the EU 25

BAU: Baseline scenario (BAU)

(compatible with the new DG-TREN baseline scenario)

N+: **+25% nuclear capacity** in 2030 vs. new baseline
(+ CCS)

N-: **-25% nuclear capacity** in 2030 vs. new baseline
(76 GW nuclear capacity in 2030)

EE: 50% increase in **energy efficiency** on a primary energy
level vs. BAU by 2030

RE: >30% **RES** by 2030 (includes + 75% energy efficiency)

BAU scenario and variants

Compatible with 2006 DG TREN baseline

- PE efficiency increase: +1.5% per year
- Primary consumption: +15% vs. 1990
- Import dependency: +38% vs. 2000 (65% in 2030)
- RES share in 2030: 12.2%
- CO₂ emissions in 2030: +4.7% vs. 1990

Variants:


- +25% nuclear capacity in 2030 (stable vs. 2000) (plus CCS)
- CO₂ emissions in 2030: + 1.3% vs. 1990
- Import dependency: + 32% vs. 2000 (63% in 2030)
- *-25% nuclear capacity*

Energy Efficiency (EE) and Renewable Energy Scenarios


- Energy efficiency scenario:
 - +50% primary energy efficiency increase vs. BAU
 - -25% nuclear capacity vs. BAU
- Renewable energy scenario:
 - >30% renewable energies in 2030
 - +75% primary energy increase vs. BAU

	EE	RE
● PE efficiency increase:	+2.2%/y	+2.7%/y
● Primary energy (vs. BAU):	-19%	-29%
● Import dependency (2030):	60%	49%
● RES share in 2030:	15%	31%
● CO ₂ (2030 vs. 1990):	-19%	-45%

Comparison of scenarios: Final energy demand




Absolute import dependency by scenario



- **BAU:** increasing import dependency
- **RE:** stabilizing imports at or below current level
- Shares increase in all scenarios

Annual costs of energy supply



- Costs of supply increase in BAU by 0.8% per year
 - Moderate oil price scenario (2010: 38 €/bbl; 2030: 50 €/bbl)
 - High investment in power plants (and grids)
- Costs of supply are lower
 - in EE (by 6 to 20%) and
 - in RE (by 11 to 40%)
- Available for investment in efficiency & dec. renew.:
 - EE: 0.5 to 1.3 % of GDP
 - RE: 0.9 to 1.7% of GDP

Comparison with DG TREN Combined high efficiency & renewable scenario

- **Efficiency** (Primary energy vs. BAU) :

- DG TREN: 2020: -13%; 2030: -20%
- EE scenario: -12% -19%
- RE scenario: -16% -29%

- **Renewable Energies**

- DG TREN: 2020 325 Mtoe (20%) 2030: 394 (26%)
- RE scenario: 315 Mtoe (20%) 418 (31%)

- **Differences:**

- Less nuclear power in DG TREN scenario
- Higher import dependency

Conclusion: Two strategies available

- Advanced conventional
 - Business as usual in energy system development plus increased use of nuclear + CCS
 - Needs strong external policy
 - Not so much developed as the Domestic action strategy due to scenario formulation
- Domestic action (RE)
 - Strongly Increased efficiency (20% vs. BAU)
 - Increase of renewable energies by a factor of 2.8
 - CO₂ emissions decrease by 45% (by 2030, domestically)
 - Needs for an active domestic change management
 - Relaxed international policy (imports reduced by 24% vs. 2005)

Advanced conventional

- Business as usual plus increased use of nuclear + CCS
 - Conventional reinvestment of power plants (coal, increased share of natural gas, limited renaissance of nuclear)
- Imports are reduced by about 3% vs. BAU
 - But still increase by about 38% vs. 2005 (to 62% in 2030)
- CO₂ emissions can be stabilized at current levels
- Need for a much more active foreign policy
 - To secure increasing energy supply of oil and natural gas
 - This needs strategic partnerships with Russia, North Africa and Caspian Sea region
 - To acquire carbon emission rights
- Economic consequences:
 - Increasing costs of energy supply (0.8% per year)
-> 1/3 due to increasing import costs
 - Added costs for CCS and acquisition of CO₂ rights (0.1 to 0.16% of GDP at 25€/t)

Domestic action

- By 75% increased energy efficiency (29% Savings vs. BAU)
 - Strong action to promote demand side efficiency
- Increase of renewable energies by a factor of 2.8
- CO₂ emissions decrease by 45% (by 2030, domestically)
- Needs for an active domestic change management
 - Restructuring of energy industry
 - > cutting of investment in conventional plants by 75%
 - High efficient technology in all investments in all sectors
- Relaxed international policy (Imports reduced by 24% vs. 2005)
 - EU can play a frontrunner role in climate and efficiency policy
- Economic impacts:
 - Redirecting investment from energy imports and conventional power plants to high efficient technology and renewable technology
 - Reduced import bills, higher labour intensity of investment

Conclusion: Robust policy choices

Strategies needed in every scenario

- Demand side energy efficiency (incl. CHP)
 - Is important in all scenarios (BAU: 1.5% per year; RE: 2.7%)
 - Existing directives have to be actively implemented
 - Existing and discussed targets should become mandatory
 - Buildings directive, Transport sector targets, Eco design directive, Energy end use efficiency directive
- Renewable energies
 - Are expanded in all scenarios (BAU: + 40%; RE + 180%)
- Energy market policy
 - to support DSM, decentralized generation, and large wind generation
- EU external energy policy
 - to foster CDM and clean technology transfer (CTT)



Wissenschaftszentrum
Nordrhein-Westfalen

Institut Arbeit
und Technik



Kulturwissenschaftliches
Institut

Wuppertal Institut für
Klima, Umwelt, Energie
GmbH

www.wupperinst.org

www.vatt.fi

Thank you!



www.wupperinst.org